

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FILED
Jun 06, 2018
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -35)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 637 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of
the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court Northern District of Ohio

By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-35 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALABAMA SOUTHERN			
ALS	1	18-00230	City of Prichard, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
CALIFORNIA EASTERN			
CAE	2	18-01221	County of Tehama v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
GEORGIA MIDDLE			
GAM	5	18-00167	MONROE COUNTY GEORGIA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
GAM	5	18-00169	WILKINSON COUNTY GEORGIA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
GEORGIA NORTHERN			
GAN	1	18-02078	Gwinnett County, Georgia v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MASSACHUSETTS			
MA	1	18-10971	Town of Watertown v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	1	18-10972	Town of Plymouth v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	1	18-10982	Town of Acushnet, Massachusetts v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	1	18-10985	City of Amesbury v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	1	18-10992	Town of Carver v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	1	18-10994	City of Chelsea v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	3	18-30067	City of Holyoke v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al

MA	4	18-40070	Town of Southbridge v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	4	18-40071	Town of Winchendon Massachusetts v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	4	18-40072	Town of Auburn v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	4	18-40075	Town of Charlton v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al